1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the east side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? $\underline{\text{YES}}$

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2230 - Reg Traff

Impact Fee, 2250 Traffic										
Mitigation & 2340 SJ RTIF *			Fı	ınding Anticipated t	o Complete Financir	g				
	Cost of	-								
Improvements	Improvement	Sour	ce: Capital Facility		Source: Measure	K, Developer Con				
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2230	2250	2340
Lathrop/Stratford Intersection Widening	181,172	100%	181,172	2018				Х	Х	
Lathrop/Avon Intersection Widening	181,172	100%	181,172	2018				Х	Х	
Lathrop/5th Street Intersection Widening	90,587	100%	90,587	2018				Х	Х	
Lathrop/McKinley Intersection Widening	90,587	100%	90,587	2030				Х	Х	
Louise/McKinley Intersection Widening	181,172	100%	181,172	2030					Х	
McKinley/Yosemite/Vierra Intersection Widening	181,172	100%	181,172	2030					Х	
Traffic Signal - Louise Avenue and McKinley	251,629	100%	251,629	2011					Х	
Traffic Signal - Lathrop and Stratford	251,629	100%	251,629	2018				Х	Х	
Traffic Signal - Lathrop and McKinley	251,629	100%	251,629	2030				Х	Х	
Traffic Signal - Lathrop and Avon	251,629	100%	251,629	2030				Х	Х	
Traffic Signal - McKinley & Yosemite/Vierra	251,629	100%	251,629	2030					Х	
Grade Separation - Lathrop @ UPRR	14,829,326	22%	2,492,548	2008	78%	\$ 8,673,062	2008	Х	Х	
Grade Separation - Lathrop @ SPRR	14,829,326	0%	0	2018	100%	\$ 19,478,501	2018	Х	Х	Х
Harlan Road (Roth to Louise) Widening	524,227	100%	524,227	2030					Х	
Lathrop Road (UPRR to SPRR) Widening	283,083	100%	283,083	2018				Х	Х	Х
Roth Road (UPRR to SPRR) Widening	408,897	100%	408,897	2030					Х	
Roth Road /I-5 Interchange	4,613,195	100%	4,592,450	2035					Х	Х
Lathrop Road /I-5 Interchange	14,712,947	100%	14,712,947	2035				Х	Х	Х
Louise Avenue/I-5 Interchange	7,779,424	100%	7,779,424	2025				Х	Х	

^{*}Note: Fund 223 was closed as of Feb. 20,2006. Revenues for these projects will now come from Funds 234 and 236 as a result of the change from Regional Transportation Fee to San Joaquin Regional Transporation Impact Fee (RTIF).

 $[\]ensuremath{\text{[1]}}$ Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to funds parks, a library facility, senior center and cultural center needed to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facilities Fees, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? <u>YES</u> If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional need for recreational facilities such parks, libraries, cultural and senior centers. This fee will pay for those improvement needed to provide these facilities.

CFF Fund 2260 - Culture and Leisu	<u>re</u>		Fun	ding Anticipated t	o Complete Finan	ncing	
	Cost of						-
Improvements	Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facili	ty Fee	Source: Measure	K, Developer C	ontribution, Other
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available
Neighborhood Parks [1]	\$ 50,124,032	100%	\$ 50,124,032	2030			
Community Parks [1]	\$ 65,315,327	100%	\$ 65,315,327	2030			
Linear Parks and Bikeways [1]	\$ 20,302,313	100%	\$ 20,302,313	2030			
Specialized Community Park Facilities[2]	\$ 22,814,784	100%	\$ 22,814,784	2030			
Library [2]	\$ 19,067,012	100%	\$ 19,067,012	2030			
Senior Center [2]	\$ 11,892,349	100%	\$ 11,892,349	2030			
Community Center Expansion [2]	\$ 4,225,460	100%	\$ 4,225,460	2030			

^{*}Note: A portion of the Culture and Leisure Improvements have been completed. Ten percent (10%) of the Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

- [1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.
- $\ensuremath{\text{[2]}}$ Dates shown were based on the 2018 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund a city hall, police station, corporation yard and animal control shelter needed to accommodate for new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facilities Fees, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? \underline{YES} If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional need for city services including a larger city hall, police station, corporation yard and animal shelter. This fee will pay for those improvement needed to provide these facilities.

CFF Fund 2270 - City Services			Fu	ınding Anticipated	to Complete Fin	ancing	
	Cost of						
Improvements	Improvement	Sour	ce: Capital Facili	ty Fee	Source: Measu	ıre K, Developer (Contribution, Other
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available
Police Facility	\$ 39,610,774	100%	\$ 39,610,774	2020			
Animal Control Shelter	\$ 8,634,955	100%	\$ 8,634,955	2030			
City Hall	\$ 29,328,645	100%	\$ 29,328,645	2030			
Corporation Yard	\$ 19,017,239	100%	\$ 19,017,239	2013			
Performing Arts Center	\$ 8,050,970	100%	\$ 8,050,970	2030			
Wireless Network	\$ 1,926,069	100%	\$ 1,926,069	2030			

 $[\]ensuremath{\text{[1]}}$ Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund storm drain improvements needed to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facilities Fees, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development will create additional storm drainage run-off, which varies by the type of use (coverage of ground with impervious surfaces varies greatly depending on land use). This fee is calculated to spread the cost of needed storm drainage facilities based on the amount of water run-off is likely to occur from each type of land use.

CFF Fund 2280 - Storm Drain			Fı	unding Anticipated	to Complete Fina	ncing	
	Cost of						
Improvements	Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facili	ty Fee	Source: Measur	re K, Developer C	Contribution, Other
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available
Mossdale Village Outfall *	\$ 1,811,208	100%	\$ 1,811,208	2030			
Trunkline "A-1" Improvements	\$ 3,387,517	100%	\$ 3,387,517	2030			
Trunkline "C" Improvements	\$ 5,490,550	100%	\$ 5,490,550	2030			
						<u> </u>	

^{*}Note: Project was completed and accepted by the City on December 6, 2005. All Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to defer the administrative costs of collecting and accounting for the funds collected for Transportation, City Services, and Culture and Leisure as identified in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facility Fee, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? \underline{YES}

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

Costs are incurred in collection of and accounting for the fees described above. These cost are reimbursed through this 2% fee.

CFF Fund 2290 - Administration				Fu	nding Anticipated t	o Complete Fina	ncing	
Improvements	Cost of covernent	So	ourc	e: Capital Facili	ty Fee	Source: Measu	re K, Developer C	Contribution, Other
		%	T	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available
CFF Report Update (Bi Annually)	\$ 77,043	100	% \$	77,043	2020			

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected from development on the west side of Interstate 5 in order to preserve the habitat of the Riparian Brush Rabbit as required by the environmental mitigation measures. This fee will be used to acquire the land and construct a fence needed to protect the rabbit.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? \underline{YES}

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development on the west side of Interstate 5 will endanger the Riparian Brush Rabbit thus creating the need to protect it. This fee was created solely for that reason.

CFF Fund 2310 - Environment	al Mitigation		Fı	ınding Anticipated	to Complete Fin	ancing			
	Cost of								
Improvements	Improvement	Source: Capital Facility Fee Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution							
_		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available		
Rabbit Habitat Mitigation	\$ 739,298	100%	\$ 739,298	2030					
	1/								

^{*}Note: Project was completed. All Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

 $[\]ensuremath{\text{[1]}}$ Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the west side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? $\underline{\text{YES}}$

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

CFF Fund 2320 Reg Trans Impact Fee, 2330 WLSP Reg Trans & 2360 SJ RTIF	-		Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing										
	_	Cost of										2003	2002 CEE
Improvements	lī	nprovement		Source: Capital Facility Fee Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution, Other RT								CFF	2003 CFF
	_		%	╙	Funding	Date Available [1]	%		Funding	Date Available	2330	2320	2360
Arbor Ave from Macarthur to Paradise Ave	\$	14,055,275	7%	+	<u> </u>	2030	93%	_	13,061,468			X	
GVP Paradise Ave to Paradise Cut	\$	46,454,256	69%	\$	31,926,469	2030	31%	\$	14,527,787		Х	X	X
GVP Paradise Cut to SJ River	\$	31,907,067	72%	\$	22,884,208	2030	28%	\$	9,022,859		Х	Х	Х
GVP SJ River to River Edge Ave	\$	4,235,361	73%	\$	3,105,305	2030	27%	\$	1,130,056		Х	Х	Х
GVP River Edge Ave to River Island Pkwy	\$	8,654,424	73%	\$	6,340,283	2030	27%	\$	2,314,141		Х	Х	Х
GVP RIP to Lathrop Road	\$	9,299,424	73%	\$	6,834,246	2030	27%	\$	2,465,178		Х	Х	Х
GVP Lathrop Road and CLSP no. boundary	\$	7,290,944	70%	\$	5,115,562	2030	30%	\$	2,175,382		X	X	Х
GVP CLSP no. boundary to Roth Road	\$	2,199,326	74%	\$	1,635,261	2030	26%	\$	564,065		X	Х	Х
Roth Road Interchange Improvements	\$	1,156,305	4%	\$	47,756	2030	96%	\$	1,108,549			Х	
Lathrop Road Interchange Improvements	\$	39,020,432	74%	\$	29,021,581	2030	25%	\$	9,608,647		Х	X	X
Lathrop Road from GVP to I-5	\$	1,473,702	4%	\$	64,541	2030	96%	\$	1,409,160			Х	
Louise Ave Interchange Improvements	\$	41,156,483	17%	\$	6,885,479	2030	83%	\$	34,271,004			X	
RIP from I-5 to GVP	\$	1,165,490	27%	\$	315,256	2030	73%	\$	850,234			X	
RIP from GVP to McKee Ave	\$	2,333,021	18%	\$	416,611	2030	82%	\$	1,916,409			X	
RIP from McKee Ave to SJ River	\$	15,315,678	13%	\$	1,979,576	2030	87%	\$	13,336,101			Х	
RIP from SJ River to Broad Street	\$	2,445,283	13%	\$	313,974	2030	87%	\$	2,131,309			Х	
Broad St. from RIP to So. RIP	\$	3,745,488	16%	\$	588,041	2030	84%	\$	3,157,447			Х	
So. RIP from GVP to Broad St.	\$	3,625,061	35%	\$	1,259,930	2030	65%	\$	2,365,131			X	

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the west side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

CFF Fund 2320 Reg Trans Impact Fee, 2330 WLSP Reg Trans &	<u>:</u>		Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing										
2360 SJ RTIF						Funding Anticipated	to Complete Financing	g					
		Cost of									1997	2003	
Improvements	In	nprovement	So	urce	: Capital Facility	Fee	Source: Measure	K, I	Developer Contr	ibution, Other	RTIF	CFF	2003 CFF
			%	% Funding Date Available [1] % Funding Date Available 233								2320	2360
Broad St. from So. RIP to GVP	\$	2,815,750	9%	\$	256,234	2030	91%	\$	2,559,516			X	
Paradise Ave interchange Improvements	\$	31,991,774	67%	\$	21,436,054	2030	33%	\$	10,555,720		X	Х	
Paradise Ave from GVP to Paradise Cut	\$	1,514,524	7%	\$	106,016	2030	93%	\$	1,408,508			Х	
Macarthur Dr. interchange Improvements	\$	16,967,979	4%	\$	739,803	2030	96%	\$	16,228,176			X	
Macarthur Dr. from 1-205 to Arbor Ave	\$	2,105,434	7%	\$	147,380	2030	93%	\$	1,958,053			Х	
Traffic Signal at Macarthur Dr. & Arbor Ave.	\$	725,625	6%	\$	46,078	2030	94%	\$	679,547			Х	
Traffic Signal at GVP and Paradise Ave.	\$	725,625	8%	\$	59,429	2030	92%	\$	666,195			Х	
Traffic Signal at GVP and RIP	\$	725,625	27%	\$	193,380	2030	73%	\$	532,245			Х	
Traffic Signal at GVP and Lathrop Road	\$	725,625	9%	\$	63,348	2030	91%	\$	662,276			X	
Traffic Signal at RIP and Broad St.	\$	425,577	12%	\$	52,815	2030	88%	\$	372,762			Х	
Traffic Signal at Broad St. and So. RIP	\$	425,577	15%	\$	62,687	2030	85%	\$	362,890			Х	
Traffic Signal at GVP and Broad St.	\$	425,577	15%	\$	63,709	2030	85%	\$	361,868			X	
Traffic Signal at GVP and So. RIP	\$	425,577	22%	\$	94,777	2030	78%	\$	330,801			Х	

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2019 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund offsite roadway improvements for the Land Park, Central Lathrop Project Area. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended May 29, 2007.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

CFF Fund 2380 - CLSP Offsite Roadway Improvements			Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing								
	Cost of			-	6)/	K D. d. G.	uite di en Other			İ	
Improvements	Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facility	r Fee	Source: Measur	e K, Developer Con	tribution, Other	\Box			
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2380			
Roth Road/McKinley Ave	1,437,620	16%	230,019	2030	84%	\$ 1,207,601					
Lathrop Road/5th Street	1,275,431	21%	267,840	2030	79%	\$ 1,007,590					
Lathrop Road/Airport Road	2,288,696	17%	389,078	2030	83%	\$ 1,899,618					
Louise Avenue/McKinley Avenue	2,519,746	9%	226,777	2030	91%	\$ 2,292,969					
Louise Avenue/Airport Road	2,822,911	8%	225,833	2030	92%	\$ 2,597,078					
Yosemite Avenue/McKinley Avenue	1,983,241	10%	198,324	2030	90%	\$ 1,784,917					

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2007 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund needed improvements to the Roth Road Interchange and frontage roads. The project to be funded is listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended January 3, 2011.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2420 - North Lathrop Transportation	Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing								
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facility	Fee	Source: Measur	e K, Developer Con	tribution, Other		
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2420	
Roth Road/I-5 Interchange Improvements	36,881,266	48%	17,703,008	2036	52%	\$ 19,178,258			

[1] Dates shown were based on the 2011 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund acquisition of parkland needed to support new residential development. The fee is only charged in the event that adequate parkland is not dedicated by the developer as part if the subdivision in accordance with the Quimby Act. The location of the projects to be funded are generally described in the "comprehensive General Plan and Environmental Impact Report for the City of Lathrop, December, 1991."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? <u>YES</u> If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

According to the General Plan, the standard for park development is 2 acres of Neighborhood Parks per 1,000 residents and 3 acres of Community Parks per 1,000 residents. According to State Law, the City can require up to 5 acres of park land to be dedicated per every 1,000 people provided that the City already has that much park land within its planning area. In 1991, when the General Plan was adopted, the City had more that 5 acres per 1,000 people and, with additional parks developed since that time, has maintained that ratio. The dedication (or in-lieu) requirement is still valid.

CFF Fund 3410 - Park in Lieu			Fı	unding Anticipated	to Complete Fina	ancing			
	Cost of								
Improvements	Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facili	ty Fee	Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution, Oth				
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available		

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

Five Year Capital Facilities Fee Fund Report (Report Made Pursuant to Government Code Section 66000 et. seq.) Name of Fund: Water Capital Facility Fee

Report Period: July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund improvements for existing water facilities as well as create new facilities needed in order to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facility Fee, as amended September 2, 2003.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? \underline{YES} If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

The fee is based on the total amount of improvements to the water system including the creation of new water sources needed to serve the anticipated growth of the City. These costs were then transferred into per-gallon-per-day costs and allocated to the different land uses based on the average daily consumption rates. For non-residential uses, individual calculations will be made based on the estimated water usage.

CFF Fund 5610 - Water Connection	Fees			Funding Anticipate	ed to Complete Fi	inancing	
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facili	tv Fee	Source: Mea	sure K. Developer	Contribution, Other
mproventente		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available
Existing Water System Buy In [2]	\$ 17,528,700	100%	\$ 17,528,700	2030	8%	\$ 1,467,293	
Well Improvements for W/C Lathrop [1]	\$ 41,764,890	100%	\$ 41,764,890	2030			
Water Storage for ML * and LS	\$ 2,875,236	100%	\$ 2,875,236	2030			

^{*}Note: The Mossdale Water Tank was completed. All Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

- [1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.
- [2] Dates shown were based on the 2018 CFF Update projections.

Five Year Capital Facilities Fee Fund Report (Report Made Pursuant to Government Code Section 66000 et. seq.)

Name of Fund: Sewer Capital Facility Fee Report Period: July 1, 2019 to June 30, 2020

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund improvements for existing sewer facilities as well as create new facilities needed in order to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facility Fee, as amended September 2, 2003.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? <u>YES</u> If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

The current fee is based on the total amount of improvements needed to serve the projected level of development divided by the number of gallons of sewage to be treated. The fees are then based on the average number of gallons for single family and multiple family with individual calculations made for each non-residential use based on estimated usage.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 6030 - Sewer Connection Fees		Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing					
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	Source: Capital Facility Fee			Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution, Other		
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available
Existing Sewer Collection Syst Buy In [1]	\$ 19,513,993	100%	\$ 19,513,993	2030	46%	\$ 8,930,986	
Recycled Water Outfall W/C Lathrop [1]	\$ 1,280,451	100%	\$ 1,280,451	2030			
Portion of Pump Station&Force Mains [2]	\$ 5,748,865	100%	\$ 5,748,865	2030			
Sanitary Sewer Mains [2]	\$ 3,089,262	100%	\$ 3,089,262	2030			
Recycled Water Mains [2]	\$ 3,355,631	100%	\$ 3,355,631	2030			
Additional Pump Station Cost [2]	\$ 808,291	100%	\$ 808,291	2030			
Estimated Additional Sewer Work [2]	\$ 3,738,344	100%	\$ 3,738,344	2030			
Sewer/Recycled Water System MV [1]	\$ 4,212,699	100%	\$ 4,212,699	2030	45%	\$ 1,911,136	

^{*}Note: A portion of the Sewer Capital Improvements have been completed. Ten percent (10%) of the Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

[2] Dates shown were based on the 2019 CFF Update projections.

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.