1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the east side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

<u>CFF Fund 2230 - Reg Traff Impact Fee, 2250 Traffic Mitigation & 2340 SJ RTIF *</u>	<u>:</u>	Funding Anticipated to Complete Financi				cing						
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	ent Source: Capital Facility Fee				re K, Develope Other	er Contribution,					
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2230	2250	2340	Fun	ding Xfrd
Lathrop/Reverend Maurice Cotton Intersection Widening	219,747	100%	219,747	2018				Х	Х		\$	178,515
Lathrop/Avon Intersection Widening	219,747	100%	219,747	2018				Х	Х		\$	178,515
Lathrop/5th Street Intersection Widening	109,874	100%	109,874	2018				Х	Х		\$	89,258
Lathrop/McKinley Intersection Widening	109,874	100%	109,874	2030				Х	Χ		\$	89,258
Louise/McKinley Intersection Widening	219,747	100%	219,747	2030					Χ			
McKinley/Yosemite/Vierra Intersection Widening	219,747	100%	219,747	2030					Х		\$	49,307
Traffic Signal - Louise Avenue and McKinley	305,206	100%	305,206	2011					Х		\$	355,911
Traffic Signal - Lathrop and Reveremd Maurice Cotton	305,206	100%	305,206	2018				Х	Х		\$	247,938
Traffic Signal - Lathrop and McKinley	305,206	100%	305,206	2030				Х	Х		\$	247,938
Traffic Signal - Lathrop and Avon	305,206	100%	305,206	2030				Х	Х			
Traffic Signal - McKinley & Yosemite/Vierra	305,206	100%	305,206	2030					Х			
Grade Separation - Lathrop @ UPRR	17,986,758	22%	2,492,548	2008	78%	\$ 8,673,062	2008	Х	Χ		\$	2,492,548
Grade Separation - Lathrop @ SPRR	17,986,758	0%	0	2018	100%	\$ 19,478,501	2018	Х	Χ	Χ	\$	1,051,899
Harlan Road (Roth to Louise) Widening	635,844	100%	635,844	2030	17%	\$ 108,094			Χ		\$	340,218
Lathrop Road (UPRR to SPRR) Widening	343,356	100%	343,356	2018				Х	Х	Х	\$	278,931
Roth Road (UPRR to SPRR) Widening	495,958	83%	411,645	2030	17%	\$ 84,313			Χ			
Roth Road / I-5 Interchange	5,595,428	83%	4,644,205	2035	17%	\$ 951,223			Χ	Х	\$	483,000
Lathrop Road / I-5 Interchange	24,296,752	100%	24,296,752	2035				Х	Х	X	\$	6,012,472

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the east side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2230 - Reg Traff Impact Fee, 2250 Traffic Mitigation &												
2340 SJ RTIF *			Fun	ding Anticipated to	Complete Financi	ing						
	Cost of				Source: Measur	e K, Develope	r Contribution,					
Improvements	Improvement		rce: Capital Fac	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Other						
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2230		2340		
Louise Avenue/I-5 Interchange	47,621,634	100%	47,621,634	2030				Х	Х		\$ 3	3,000,758
Phase 1 - SR120/Yosemite EP Phase	2,800,939	100%	2,800,939	2025					Х			2,445,711
Phase 2 - SR120/Yosemite Interim Phase	18,227,423	100%	18,227,423	2025					Χ		\$ 1	,894,168
Yosemite Avenue - Segment 1 SR 120 to Yosemite Ct	805,437	100%	805,437	2030					Χ			
Yosemite Avenue - Segment 2 Yosemite Ct to D'Arcy Pkwy	918,417	100%	918,417	2030					Χ			
Yosemite Avenue - Segment 3 D'Arcy Pkwy to McKinley Ave	522,380	100%	522,380	2030					Х			
Yosemite Avenue - Segment 4 McKinley Ave to UPRR Tracks	461,638	100%	461,638	2030					Χ			
Yosemite Avenue - Intersection 1 Yosemite Ave / Yosemite Ct	765,348	100%	765,348	2030					Χ			
Yosemite Avenue - Intersection 2 Yosemite Ave / D'Arcy Pkwy	510,232	100%	510,232	2030					Χ			
Yosemite Avenue - Intersection 3 Yosemite Ave / McKinley Ave	935,425	100%	935,425	2030					Χ			
Yosemite Avenue At-Grade UPRR Crossing	728,903	100%	728,903	2030					Х			
McKinley Avenue - Segment 1 Yosemite Ave to UPRR Crossing	524,810	100%	524,810	2030					Х			
McKinley Avenue At-Grade UPRR Crossing	728,903	100%	728,903	2030					Χ			
Lathrop Road / McKinley Avenue Improvements	607,419	100%	607,419	2030					Χ			
Louise avenue / McKinley Avenue Improvements	728,903	100%	728,903	2030					X			
Airport Way / Daniels Street Improvements	753,199	100%	753,199	2030					Х			
Airport Way / WB SR 120 Ramps Improvements	6,013,446	100%	6,013,446	2030					Х			
Airport Way / EB SR 120 Ramps Improvements	6,013,446	100%	6,013,446	2030					Х			
Harlan Road / Lathrop Road Intersection Improvements	789,644	100%	789,644	2025					Х			
5th Street / Lathrop Road Intersection Improvements	789,644	100%	789,644	2025					Х			
Howland Road / Louise Avenue Intersection Improvements	789,644	100%	789,644	2030		· ·			Х			

^{*}Note: Fund 223 was closed as of Feb. 20,2006. Revenues for these projects will now come from Funds 234 and 236 as a result of the change from Regional Transportation Fee to San Joaquin Regional Transportation Impact Fee (RTIF).

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to funds parks, a library facility, senior center and cultural center needed to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facilities Fees, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? $\underline{\text{YES}}$

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional need for recreational facilities such parks, libraries, cultural and senior centers. This fee will pay for those improvement needed to provide these facilities.

CFF Fund 2260 - Culture and Leisure		Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing								
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	Ç	Source	e: Capital Facil	ity Fee	Source: Measure	K. Developer Co	ontribution, Other		
1	1	%		Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available		nding Xfrd
Neighborhood Parks [1]	\$ 52,103,731	100%	\$	52,103,731	2030				\$	2,632,491
Community Parks [1]	\$ 79,222,140	100%	\$	79,222,140	2030				\$	3,353,632
Linear Parks and Bikeways [1]	\$ 24,625,042	100%	\$	24,625,042	2030				\$	210,000
Specialized Community Park Facilities[2]	\$ 27,672,464	100%	\$	27,672,464	2030				\$	1,000,000
Cultural Center [1]	\$ 9,764,752	100%	\$	9,764,752	2030					
CLSP Neighborhood Parks	\$ 8,720,241	100%	\$	8,720,241	2030				\$	524,698
Community Center (New) [3]	\$ 81,896,961	100%	\$	81,896,961	2035					

^{*}Note: A portion of the Culture and Leisure Improvements have been completed. Ten percent (10%) of the Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

- [1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.
- [2] Dates shown were based on the 2018 CFF Update projections.
- [3] Pursuant to the 2024 CFF Update, the library, senior center, and expansion to the community were consolidated into the new community center

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund a city hall, police station, corporation yard and animal control shelter needed to accommodate for new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facilities Fees, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional need for city services including a larger city hall, police station, corporation yard and animal shelter. This fee will pay for those improvement needed to provide these facilities.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2270 - City Services			F	unding Anticipated	to Complete Fina	ncing			
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	Sou	ırce: Capital Facil	ity Fee	Source: Measu	re K, Developer (Contribution, Other		
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Fund	ding Xfrd
Police Facility	\$ 13,975,896	100%	\$ 13,975,896	2020				\$ 8	8,398,505
Future Police Facility	\$ 99,459,538	100%	\$ 99,459,538	2030					
Animal Control Center	\$ 13,187,195	100%	\$ 13,187,195	2030					
City Hall	\$ 34,267,375	100%	\$ 34,267,375	2030				\$ 9	9,401,832
Corporation Yard	\$ 14,581,212	100%	\$ 14,581,212	2030				\$ 3	3,322,014
Performing Arts Center	\$ 9,680,785	100%	\$ 9,680,785	2030					
Wireless Network	\$ 2,315,899	100%	\$ 2,315,899	2030					
Fleet Maintenance Facility	\$ 15,290,431	100%	\$ 15,290,431	2030					

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund storm drain improvements needed to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facilities Fees, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development will create additional storm drainage run-off, which varies by the type of use (coverage of ground with impervious surfaces varies greatly depending on land use). This fee is calculated to spread the cost of needed storm drainage facilities based on the amount of water run-off is likely to occur from each type of land use.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2280 - Storm Drain			Fu	ınding Anticipated	to Complete Fina	ncing		
	Cost of							
Improvements	Improvement	Sour	ce: Capital Facili	ity Fee	Source: Measur	e K, Developer C	Contribution, Other	
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Funding Xfrd
Mossdale Village Outfall *	\$ 2,196,847	100%	\$ 2,196,847	2030				\$ 248,262
Trunkline "A-1" Improvements	\$ 4,108,781	100%	\$ 4,108,781	2030				\$ 835,623
Trunkline "C" Improvements	\$ 6,659,587	100%	\$ 6,659,587	2030				\$ 191,500
Storm Drain System Improvements "Watershed #2"*	\$ 10,302,507	100%	\$ 10,302,507	2030				
Storm Drain System Improvements "Watershed #4"*	\$ 8,913,145	100%	\$ 8,913,145	2030				
Storm Drainage Facilities - SLSP Area*	\$ 19,333,064	100%	\$ 19,333,064	2030				\$ 1,706,342
Regional Outfall Structure Facilities*	\$ 4,441,990	100%	\$ 4,441,990	2030				
Storm Drainage Facilities - LGBPSP Area	\$ 15,686,047	100%	\$ 15,686,047	2030				

^{*}Note: Project was completed and accepted. CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to defer the administrative costs of collecting and accounting for the funds collected for Transportation, City Services, and Culture and Leisure as identified in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facility Fee, as amended September 2, 2003."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? <u>YES</u>

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

Costs are incurred in collection of and accounting for the fees described above. These cost are reimbursed through this 2% fee.

CFF Fund 2290 - Administration			Fu	ınding Anticipated t	to Complete Fina	ncing			
	Cost of								
Improvements	Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Facil	ity Fee	Source: Measur	e K, Developer C	ontribution, Other		
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Fun	ding Xfrd
CFF Report Update (Bi Annually)	\$ 93,447	100%	\$ 93,447	2030				\$	290,663
CLSP - Prior Developer Account Open Items	\$ 249,575	100%	\$ 249,575	2030					

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected from development on the west side of Interstate 5 in order to preserve the habitat of the Riparian Brush Rabbit as required by the environmental mitigation measures. This fee will be used to acquire the land and construct a fence needed to protect the rabbit.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development on the west side of Interstate 5 will endanger the Riparian Brush Rabbit thus creating the need to protect it. This fee was created solely for that reason.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2310 - Environmental Mitigation	<u>L</u>			Funding Anticipate	ed to Complete Fi	nancing				
	Cost of									
Improvements	Improvement	Source	Source: Capital Facility Fee Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution, Other							
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Func	ding Xfrd	
Rabbit Habitat Mitigation	\$ 896,708	100% \$ 896,708 2030						\$	122,279	

^{*}Note: Project was completed. All Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the west side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? **YES** If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

2360 SJ RTIF			Fu	nding Anticipated to	o Complete Fi	nancing						
						K D 1	C . 11 .:	1007	2002	2002		
Imagenerate	Coot of Images	C		:!!!: F	Source: Meas		er Contribution,		CFF	2003 CFF		ļ
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	%	ource: Capital F	Date Available [1]	%	Other Funding	Date Available		_	2360	Erra dia	- Vf 1
Arbor Ave from Macarthur to Paradise Ave	\$ 16,930,690		Funding \$ 11,631,384	2030			Date Available	2330	Z320	2300	Fundii	ng Xfrd
GVP Paradise Ave to Paradise Cut	\$ 43,272,776		\$ 34,185,493	2030		1 -,,		X	X	X		
GVP Paradise Cut to SJ River	\$ 29,722,150		\$ 26,482,435	2030		<u> </u>		$\frac{1}{X}$	$\frac{\lambda}{X}$	X		
GVP Paradise Cut to 5J River frontage landscape	\$ 3,770,358	100.00%		2030		Φ 3,239,714		+ ^	^			
GVP SJ River to Sadler Oak	\$ 5,102,916		\$ 5,062,092	2030		\$ 40,823		X	Х	Х	\$ 1.7	722,962
GVP SJ River to Sadder Oak frontage landscape	\$ 1,399,308		\$ 1,399,308	2030		Ψ 40,023		+ ^			Ψ 1,7	22,702
GVP Sadler Oak to River Island Pkwy	\$ 10,425,618		\$ 10,269,233	2030		\$ 156,384		X	X	Х	\$ 2,4	186,367
GVP Sadler Oak to River Island Pkwy frontage landscape	\$ 2,098,962	98.50%	1 -,,	2030				 			Ψ 2/1	.00,007
GVP RIP to Lathrop Road	\$ 11,201,993		\$ 10,933,146	2025	2.40%			X	Х	Х	\$ 9	973,421
GVP RIP to Lathrop Road frontage Landscape	\$ 2,098,962	97.60%		2025		\$ 50,375					1 .	
GVP Lathrop Road and CLSP no. boundary	\$ 1,951,321	99.40%	\$ 1,939,613	2030	0.60%	\$ 11,708		X	Х	Х		
GVP Lathrop Road and CLSP No. boundary frontage landscape	\$ 2,098,962	100.00%	\$ 2,098,962	2030		,						
GVP/Manthey Rd between CLSP no. boundary to Roth Road	\$ 7,336,969	97.20%	\$ 7,131,534	2030	2.80%	\$ 205,435		Х	Х	Х	\$ 1	150,000
Roth Road Interchange Improvements	\$ 1,392,999	49.20%	\$ 685,356	2030	50.80%	\$ 707,644			Х		\$ 5	33,080
Lathrop Road Interchange Improvements	\$ 33,618,191	75.90%	\$ 25,516,207	2030	24.10%	\$ 8,101,984		Х	Х	Х	\$	34,396
Lathrop Road from GVP to I-5	\$ 1,774,573	97.80%	\$ 1,735,533	2025	2.20%	\$ 39,041			Х			
Louise Ave Interchange Improvements	\$ 49,579,174	67.20%	\$ 33,317,205	2030	32.80%	\$ 16,261,969			Х		\$ 3,2	204,323
RIP between GVP and I-5	\$ 1,404,192	95.00%	\$ 1,333,983	2025	5.00%	\$ 70,210			Х		\$ 8	347,000
RIP between GVP and McKee Ave	\$ 2,810,419	94.30%	\$ 2,650,225	2025	5.70%				Х			
RIP between McKee Ave to SJ River	\$ 18,450,881		\$ 17,454,533	2030	5.40%	\$ 996,348			Х		\$ 2	281,038
RIP between SJ River to Somerston Parkway	\$ 2,945,751		\$ 2,783,735	2025		\$ 162,016			Х			
Somerston between RIP to So. RIP and Lakeside Drive	\$ 4,511,730		\$ 4,137,257	2025	8.30%				Х			
Lakeside Dr between Somerston Pkwy and GVP	\$ 4,367,241		\$ 4,341,038	2030		\$ 26,203			X			
Somerston Pkwy between Lakeside Dr and GVP	\$ 3,392,447	87.20%		2030		\$ 434,233			X			
Chrisman Rd Interchange	\$ 14,444,919	62.30%	1 -,,	2030		\$ 5,445,735		X	X			
Paradise Ave from GVP to Paradise Cut(between I-205 and GVP)	\$ 1,825,450	77.00%	,,	2030		\$ 419,853			Х		ļ	
Macarthur Dr. interchange Improvements	\$ 20,440,153	40.00%	\$ 8,176,061	2030	60.00%	\$ 12,264,092			X			

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund street improvements on the west side of Interstate 5. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended September 2, 2003. A portion of this fee is set aside for use on regional street improvements.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? \underline{YES}

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

2360 SJ RTIF			Fu	nding Anticipated to	o Complete Fi	nancing				
					C 14	K D 1	C . 11 .:	1007 200	2002	
, .					Source: Meas		er Contribution,	1 1		
Improvements	Cost of Improvement		ource: Capital F			Other	<u> </u>	RTIF CFI		- 4: 4:4
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]		Funding	Date Available		2360	Funding Xfrd
Macarthur Dr. from 1-205 to Arbor Ave	\$ 2,536,704	62.00%	<u> </u>	2030				X		
Traffic Signal at Macarthur Dr. & Arbor Ave.	\$ 874,059	58.80%	\$ 513,947	2030	41.20%	\$ 360,112		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and Paradise Ave.	\$ 874,059	80.10%	\$ 700,121	2030	19.90%	\$ 173,938		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and RIP	\$ 874,059	96.10%	\$ 839,970	2023	3.90%	\$ 34,088		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and Lathrop Road/Spartan Way	\$ 874,059	0.00%	\$ -	2021	100.00%	\$ 874,059		X		
Traffic Signal at RIP and Somerston Pkwy	\$ 512,835	97.00%	\$ 497,450	2025	3.00%	\$ 15,385		X		İ
Traffic Signal at Lakeside Dr and Somerston Pkwy	\$ 512,835	91.10%	\$ 467,193	2030	8.90%	\$ 45,642		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and Somerston Pkwy	\$ 512,835	94.00%	\$ 482,065	2030	6.00%	\$ 30,770		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and Lakeside Dr	\$ 512,835	99.00%	\$ 507,707	2030	1.00%	\$ 5,128		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and Stanford Crossing	\$ 493,502	99.00%	\$ 488,567	2025	1.00%	\$ 4,935		X		
Traffic Signal at GVP and Faber Street *	\$ 611,536	99.00%	\$ 605,420	2030	1.00%	\$ 6,115		X		
Traffic Signal GVP and Lathrop Rd/Spartan Way	\$ 198,418	99.00%	\$ 196,434	2025	1.00%	\$ 1,984		X		
Central Pacific Street and Spartan Way Improvements *	\$ 109,893	99.00%	\$ 108,794	2025	1.00%	\$ 1,099		X		
Traffic Signal GVP and Locomotive	\$ 661,395	99.00%	\$ 654,781	2030	1.00%	\$ 6,614		X		
Traffic Signal RIP and Oberlin Avenue	\$ 661,395	99.00%	\$ 654,781	2030	1.00%	\$ 6,614		X		
Traffic Signal Central Pacific Street and Spartan Way	\$ 661,395	99.00%	\$ 654,781	2030	1.00%	\$ 6,614		X		

^[1] Dates shown were based on the 2019 CFF Update projections.

^{*}Note: Project was completed and accepted. CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

Five Year Capital Facilities Fee Fund Report (Report Made Pursuant to Government Code Section 66000 et. seq.) Name of Fund: Transportation Capital Facility Fee

Report Period: July 1, 2024 to June 30, 2025

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund offsite roadway improvements for the Land Park, Central Lathrop Project Area. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended May 29, 2007.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2380 - CLSP Offsite										
Roadway Improvements			Fu							
	Cost of									
Improvements	Improvement	So	urce: Capital Fa	cility Fee		Other				
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2380		Funding Xfrd
Roth Road/McKinley Ave	1,743,715	16%	278,994	2030	84%	\$ 1,464,721				
Lathrop Road/5th Street	1,546,993	21%	324,868	2025	79%	\$ 1,222,124				
Lathrop Road/Airport Road	2,776,001	17%	471,920	2030	83%	\$ 2,304,081				
Louise Avenue/McKinley Avenue	3,056,246	46 9% 275,062 2030 91% \$ 2,781,184								
Louise Avenue/Airport Road	3,423,960									
Yosemite Avenue/McKinley Avenue	2,405,509									

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund needed improvements to the Roth Road Interchange and frontage roads. The project to be funded is listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop Capital Facility Fees, as amended January 3, 2011.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

New development creates additional traffic on city streets. In order to provide for adequate capacity in the roadway system, improvements are needed. This fee will pay for those improvements.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 2420 - North Lathrop Transportation			Fun	ding Anticipated to	Complete Finar	ncing				
Improvements	Cost of Improvement	Sou	rce: Capital Faci	ility Fee	Source: Measu	ıre K, Develope Other	r Contribution,			
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	2420		Funding Xfrd
Roth Road/I-5 Interchange Improvements	29,146,149	48%	13,990,152	2030	52%	\$ 15,155,998				\$ 1,220,389

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund acquisition of parkland needed to support new residential development. The fee is only charged in the event that adequate parkland is not dedicated by the developer as part if the subdivision in accordance with the Quimby Act. The location of the projects to be funded are generally described in the "comprehensive General Plan and Environmental Impact Report for the City of Lathrop, December, 1991."

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

According to the General Plan, the standard for park development is 2 acres of Neighborhood Parks per 1,000 residents and 3 acres of Community Parks per 1,000 residents. According to State Law, the City can require up to 5 acres of park land to be dedicated per every 1,000 people provided that the City already has that much park land within its planning area. In 1991, when the General Plan was adopted, the City had more that 5 acres per 1,000 people and, with additional parks developed since that time, has maintained that ratio. The dedication (or in-lieu) requirement is still valid.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 3410 - Park in Lieu			,	Funding Anticipated to	o Complete Finar	ocina		
CTT Tuliu 5410 - Talk III Lieu	C			runding minerpated to	o Complete Final	ichig		
	Cost of							
Improvements	Improvement	Son	urce: Capital Faci	lity Fee	Source: Measu	re K, Developer (Contribution, Other	
								Funding
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Xfrd
Community and Linear Parks Land	13,217,347	100%	\$ 13,217,347	2030				\$ 4,957,406
Generations Center Land	1,915,769	100%	\$ 1,915,769	2030	•			

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund improvements for existing water facilities as well as create new facilities needed in order to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facility Fee, as amended September 2, 2003.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? <u>YES</u> If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

The fee is based on the total amount of improvements to the water system including the creation of new water sources needed to serve the anticipated growth of the City. These costs were then transferred into per-gallon-per-day costs and allocated to the different land uses based on the average daily consumption rates. For non-residential uses, individual calculations will be made based on the estimated water usage.

If no, what have you done or what are you doing to insure that a reasonable relationship exists between the fee and its purpose?

CFF Fund 5610 - Water Connection Fees	Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing							
Improvements	Cost of	C	ırce: Capital Fac	ilia E	Common Manager K. Donalan and Contribution Others			
miprovements	Improvement	50	arce: Capital Fac	шцу гее Т	Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution, Other			Funding
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Xfrd
Existing Water System Buy In [2]	\$ 21,260,876	100%	\$ 21,260,876	2030	7%	\$ 1,467,293		\$ 7,998,513
Well Improvements for W/C Lathrop [1]	\$ 50,657,390	100%	\$ 50,657,390	2030				
Water Storage for ML *and LS	\$ 3,487,426	100%	\$ 3,487,426	2030				
Reimbursement for Crossroads area (1.0 MG waster storage								
tank, well, BPS. Transmission main, water distribution								
system)	\$ -							
Water Line (Water Loop Improvements) *	\$ 4,960,650	100%	\$ 4,960,650	2021				\$ 114,100
Water Storage Facilities	\$ 4,183,108	100%	\$ 4,183,108	2030				
Water Tank 1 *	\$ 5,587,867	100%	\$ 5,587,867	2023				

^{*}Note: Project was completed and accepted. CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

Five Year Capital Facilities Fee Fund Report (Report Made Pursuant to Government Code Section 66000 et. seq.)

Name of Fund: Sewer Capital Facility Fee Report Period: July 1, 2024 to June 30, 2025

1. Purpose of Fee:

This fee is collected in order to fund improvements for existing sewer facilities as well as create new facilities needed in order to accommodate new development. The projects to be funded are listed in the report titled "City of Lathrop, Capital Facility Fee, as amended September 2, 2003.

2. Are the assumptions utilized in the development of the Capital Facility Fee still valid? YES

If yes, describe the reasonable relationship between the fee and its purpose.

The fee is based on the total amount of improvements needed to serve the projected level of development divided by the number of gallons of sewage to be treated. The fees are then based on the average number of gallons for single family and multiple family with individual calculations made for each non-residential use based on estimated usage.

CFF Fund 6030 - Sewer Connection Fees	Funding Anticipated to Complete Financing								
	Cost of								
Improvements	Improvement	Source: Capital Facility Fee			Source: Measure K, Developer Contribution, Other				
								Func	ding
		%	Funding	Date Available [1]	%	Funding	Date Available	Xf	rd
Existing Sewer Collection Syst Buy In [1]	\$ 23,668,874	100%	\$ 23,668,874	2030	38%	\$ 8,930,986		\$ 4,68	31,153
Recycled Water Outfall W/C Lathrop [1]	\$ 1,553,082	100%	\$ 1,553,082	2030					
Portion of Pump Station & Force Mains [2]	\$ 6,925,313	100%	\$ 6,925,313	2030					
Sanitary Sewer Mains [2]	\$ 3,721,109	100%	\$ 3,721,109	2030					
Recycled Water Mains [2]	\$ 4,042,649	100%	\$ 4,042,649	2030					
Additional Pump Station Cost [2]	\$ 973,777	100%	\$ 973,777	2030					
Estimated Additional Sewer Work [2]	\$ 4,910,602	100%	\$ 4,910,602	2030					$\overline{}$
Sewer/Recycled Water System MV [1]	\$ 5,109,659	100%	\$ 5,109,659	2030	37%	\$ 1,911,136		\$ 4,26	5,856
Sewer Systems (Facilities) SLSP Area	\$ 6,160,757	100%	\$ 6,160,757	2030				\$ 91	16,579
Sewer Systems (Facilities) LGBPSP Area	\$ 2,513,471	100%	\$ 2,513,471	2030					
LIT Sewer Reimbursement CFF	\$ 809,472	100%	\$ 809,472	2030					
Pilot Sewer Reimbursement CFF	\$ 2,107,990	100%	\$ 2,107,990	2030				\$ 7	73,971
DPIF Sewer Reimbursement CFF	\$ 821,059	100%	\$ 821,059	2030					
DPIF Sewer Main CFF	\$ 525,060	100%	\$ 525,060	2030					
CFT NV Sewer Reimbursement CFF	\$ 184,708	100%	\$ 184,708	2030					

^{*}Note: A portion of the Sewer Capital Improvements have been completed. Ten percent (10%) of the Mossdale CFF revenue collected is reimbursed to the developer/builder for the cost of the project.

- [1] Dates shown were based on the 2005 CFF Update projections.
- [2] Dates shown were based on the 2019 CFF Update projections.